1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 8 PAULINE JAMES, 2:10-CV-01141-PMP-PAL 9 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 10 VS. 11 12 HARTFORD UNDERWRITERS INSURANCE COMPANY, 13 Defendant. 14 15 Before the Court for consideration is Defendant Hartford Underwriters 16 Insurance Company's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #4) filed July 19, 2010. Plaintiff has 17 failed to file a timely response in opposition to Defendant's motion, and pursuant to the 18 local rules of this Court therefore consents to the granting of Defendant's motion. 19 Additionally, a review of Defendant Hartford's motion to dismiss shows that 20 Defendant is entitled to the relief requested on the merits. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant Hartford Underwriters 21 22 Insurance Company's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #4) is **GRANTED**. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Enlargement of 23 24 Time (Doc. #5) is **DENIED** as moot. 25 DATED: August 9, 2010. 26 Ship m. On

PHILIP M. PRO

United States District Judge